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ASCEND programme and Toolbox:

Introduction
1.1 The ASCEND Programme

Southeast Asian governments, through the ASEAN Committee on Disaster Management (ACDM), continue to invest in strengthening disaster management systems for a more secure and resilient region. However, the compounding risks and increasing uncertainty of disasters in our new climate reality threaten to set back the socioeconomic development gains of ASEAN societies. Widespread and recurring disaster damages and losses can overwhelm national capacities and worsen regional transboundary effects.

The Declaration on One ASEAN One Response (OAOR) at the 2016 ASEAN Summit in Vientiane, Lao PDR, reaffirms ASEAN's vision to move towards faster and more integrated collective responses to disasters inside and outside the region. However, ASEAN's past experiences responding to large-scale disasters showed that realising the OAOR can be challenging. Various responders from different countries, institutions, organisations, and companies seek to contribute to the overall response. Their goodwill is appreciated, and several provide much-needed assistance. But ASEAN and affected Member States sometimes found it challenging to determine what knowledge and skills responders have and how they can effectively contribute to national and regional efforts.

Learnings from past experiences and shared commitment to realising the OAOR vision increased the need to develop regionally recognised Competency Standards and a certification process for disaster management professionals. The increased support led to initiatives that eventually created the ASEAN Standards and Certification for Experts in Disaster Management (ASCEND) Programme. ASCEND is now part of Priority 5: Global Leadership of the ASEAN Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response (AADMER) Work Programme 2021-2025, a programme that envisions ASEAN as a global leader in disaster management.

1.2 The objectives of ASCEND

- To enhance the capacity of the ASEAN countries in the implementation of ASCEND.
- To establish regionally recognised Competency Standards and assessment processes covering five professions in disaster management.
To improve the capacity of the AHA Centre to serve as the ASCEND Secretariat.

To promote understanding of the ASCEND Framework among the ASEAN Member States (AMS) and other ASEAN sectors in preparation for the inclusion of ASCEND into the ASEAN Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA).

1.3 Advantages and benefits of an ASCEND certification

For ASEAN
The ASCEND certification can assist Member States in ensuring that competent disaster management professionals handle emergency assistance and disaster relief across the region. It also supports mutual recognition of disaster management competencies to facilitate acceptance of external aid and faster response.

For AHA Centre
ASEAN, a rapidly developing and hazard-prone region, will need more competent disaster management professionals. The ASCEND certification can narrow current knowledge and skills gaps. It can also enable stronger cooperation and interoperability between disaster managers in their home countries and across regions.

For disaster management professionals
Disaster management professionals can use their ASCEND certification to promote themselves professionally and serve as evidence of their experience and qualifications. It can also make it easier for organisations to determine the ability of certificate holders to perform critical work functions of specific occupations in the disaster management sector.

These ASCEND toolbox documents support the ASEAN Member States in identifying, building the capacity of, and mobilising competent disaster managers across Southeast Asia that are highly capable of contributing to reducing disaster risks and disaster losses in the region through timely and effective response.
1.4 The ASCEND Toolbox

A set of technical requirements must exist before it is possible to implement the ASCEND programme in participating ASEAN Member States. The first requirement is the ASCEND Competency Standards, containing forty-three (43) regionally recognised core and technical competencies in selected disaster management professions. The Competency Standards outline the work elements and performance criteria that guide for certification of disaster management professionals across the region.

Another requirement is the development of an ASCEND Toolbox for five professions. These professions are Rapid Assessment, Humanitarian Logistics, Information Management, Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH), and Shelter Management. The ASCEND Toolbox consists of an SOP, Certification Schemes, Assessor Guides, Trainer Guides, and Learner Guides. The ASCEND Competency Standards, approved by the ASEAN Committee on Disaster Management, are the primary basis of the Toolbox documents.

The SOP defines the basis of ASCEND, describes the institutional arrangements and mechanisms, and details the certification procedures. Certification Schemes present an overview of the standards of each profession-occupation and certification requirements, the rights and obligations of candidates and certificate holders, and general guidelines on the certification process. Assessor Guides provide assessors with tools to validate, evaluate, and determine whether a candidate meets the Competency Standards. Trainer Guides come with PowerPoint slides and presenter notes to help trainers prepare candidates for certification. It also offers a list of tools trainers may use to encourage interactive learning. Learner Guides assist candidates preparing for ASCEND certification in their chosen disaster management profession and occupation. It contains learning resources and complementary readings to help prepare them to undergo the required assessment.

The ASCEND Toolbox documents can assist the ASEAN Member States to identify, build the capacity of, and mobilise competent disaster managers across Southeast Asia to help reduce disaster risks and disaster losses in the region through timely and effective response.
## Figure 1: Overview of ASCEND Toolbox Documents

### ASEAN Standards and Certification for Experts in Disaster Management (ASCEND) Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference documents</th>
<th>ASCEND Framework</th>
<th>ASCEND Competency Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Declaration on One ASEAN One Response (OAOR) 2016</td>
<td>Identifies the rationale behind ASCEND</td>
<td>Presents the complete list of ASCEND core and technical competencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AADMER Work Programme 2021 - 2025</td>
<td>Illustrates the roadmap of the ASCEND Programme</td>
<td>Documents and explains the components of each unit of competency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN Community Vision 2025</td>
<td>Establishes the principles for mapping of ASCEND Competency Standards</td>
<td>Assigns competency standards to professions and occupations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN Economic Community Blueprint 2025</td>
<td>Presents the ASCEND governance, cooperation, and coordination structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015 - 2030</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ASCEND Toolbox Documents

- **ASCEND SOP for Certification**
  - Explains the purpose, objectives, and scope of ASCEND certification
  - Defines the basis of the certification (framework and standards)
  - Describes the institutional arrangements and mechanisms
  - Details the procedures for certification (workflow and guidelines)

- **ASCEND Certification Schemes**
  - Provides an overview of the standards of a given ASCEND profession-occupation
  - Lists the requirements, rights, and obligations of candidates and awardees
  - Outlines the certification process of a given ASCEND profession-occupation

- **Assessor Guides**
  - Provides assessors with tools to validate, evaluate, and determine whether a candidate meets the competency standards

- **Assessor Training Modules**
  - Comes with teaching material to help prepare candidates for certification
  - Offers a list of tools to encourage interactive learning

- **Trainer Guides**
  - Contains learning resources to complement their training

- **Learner Guides**
  - Assist candidates in preparing for assessments
Learner’s Guide

Introduction for Candidates
Welcome and thank you for your interest in pursuing an ASCEND certification. This Learner Guide is for you to read. It contains learning resources and helps you prepare for the required assessments: oral interviews, written tests, and observation checklists.

Competency-based learning and assessment

**Competency** is the attitude and ability to use or apply one’s experience, knowledge, and skills-sets to perform critical job functions in a defined work setting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competency area</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experience</td>
<td>Refers to the qualifications of the candidate that make them eligible to pursue certification. It includes the candidate’s formal education, work experience, professional training, and job-relevant life experiences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge</td>
<td>Refers to what the candidate needs to know to make informed decisions on how to perform the work effectively.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills</td>
<td>Refers to the ability of the candidate to apply knowledge to complete occupational tasks and produce work outcomes or results at the standard required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitudes</td>
<td>Refers to associated beliefs, feelings, motivations, and values that influence a candidate to make decisions and act according to occupational standards and the professional work setting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is one Learner Guide for each unit of competency. The Competency Standards and Unit Descriptor section of this document outlines the content you will be studying – broken down into elements and performance criteria that will be covered during training and assessed using competency-based methods. This guide contains a glossary of terms, a list of abbreviations, readings and activities, a self-assessment checklist, and information about the oral interviews and written tests.
Competency-based methods help ensure that the ASCEND certification process is relevant, valid, acceptable, flexible, and traceable – in alignment with the ASEAN Guiding Principles.

The relevance principle confirms that the ASCEND certification reflects the current professional needs in the disaster management sector. The validity principle relates to the consistency and equitability of the assessment process. The acceptability principle is about aligning the ASCEND certification to other disaster management professional standards and good practices. The flexibility principle refers to the responsiveness of the ASCEND certification to changes or differences in disaster management work settings and job requirements. The traceability principle ensures that evidence is sufficient to grant the ASCEND certification.

Competency-based assessment (CBA) is the process for evaluating whether a professional is qualified and competent to perform in a particular occupation. CBA is used to determine if the candidate’s experience, knowledge, skills, and attitudes meet the standards and performance criteria defined in a unit of competency.
ASCEND Competency Standards and Unit Descriptor
3.1 Competency standards

Competency standards are a set of industry-accepted benchmarks that defines the experience, knowledge, skills, and attitudes professionals need to perform well in an occupation. It also reflects the requirements of work settings and considers the developments in the disaster management profession.

3.2 ASCEND Competency Standards

The ASCEND Competency Standards identify the key features of work in selected disaster management professions, and performance standards professionals need to meet to be deemed competent. It also provides the list of the forty-three (43) core and technical competencies that serve as the basis for defining the regionally recognised disaster management qualifications across the ASEAN Member States. The five (5) professions covered by the ASCEND Competency Standards include Rapid Assessment, Humanitarian Logistics, Information Management, WASH, and Shelter Management. Under these professions are five (5) categories of occupations: Manager, Coordinator, Officer, Promoter, and Engineer. Overall, there are fifteen (15) profession-occupation combinations (e.g., humanitarian logistics manager, information management coordinator, WASH promoter).

Each ASCEND Competency Standard has its dedicated Toolbox documents: an SOP, Certification Scheme, Assessor Guide, Trainer Guide, and Learner Guide. Only one SOP applies to all profession-occupation combinations covered by the ASCEND certification. The Certification Schemes, one for each of the profession-occupation combinations. Both these documents align with the AQRF Level Descriptors, Section 4: Guiding Principles and Protocols for Quality Assurance of the AGP, and ASEAN Disaster Management Occupations Map. The Certification Schemes also outline the ASCEND competencies under selected professions and occupations, eligibility criteria, basic requirements and rights of candidates, and obligations of certification holders. Assessor Guides describe the components of particular competency standards and offer tools to determine the candidate’s qualifications. Trainer and Learner Guides expound on a given competency standard’s elements and performance criteria for learning and assessment preparation purposes.
The Toolbox documents may also serve as a reference for ASEAN Member States’ seeking to develop and implement national-level competency-based certification processes based on their respective capacities and needs. The ASCEND Competency Standards and its derivative Toolbox documents will be reviewed and updated every five (5) years to ensure it reflects changes in the disaster management profession and remains relevant. Table 2 describes its main components.

**Table 2: Components of the ASCEND Competency Standards**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit title</td>
<td>Describes the critical work function to be performed in an occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit number</td>
<td>A coding system to organise the units of competency. It also indicates the types of competency standards.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ <strong>ADM.COR.000.0</strong> are core competencies. These are general professional knowledge and skills related to international humanitarian principles and disaster management standards, including ASEAN mechanisms and procedures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▪ <strong>ADM.TEC.000.0</strong> are technical competencies. These are specific knowledge and skills needed to perform effectively in work areas under their chosen disaster management profession and occupation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit description</td>
<td>Provides information about the critical work function covered by the unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements</td>
<td>Presents the occupational tasks required to perform the critical work function in the unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance criteria</td>
<td>Lists the expected outcomes or results from the occupational tasks to perform and the standard required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unit descriptor

Unit title: Provide Technical Guidance to Partners and Stakeholders
Unit number: ADM.TEC.026.1

Unit description: This unit deals with the knowledge and skills required to support implementing partners or field staff in a WASH project during emergencies.

Element 1.
Establish coordination internally and externally within the assigned activity area

Performance Criteria

1.1 Represent in sub-national WASH coordination platform/sectoral cluster
1.2 Support the organisation’s external communications and seek opportunities to advocate for WASH-specific needs, including interaction with donors
1.3 Promote community engagement in WASH programming, and as appropriate, for participation
1.4 Oversees stakeholder engagement and identifies emerging partnerships within the assigned activity area

Element 2.
Incorporate policy or advocacy of WASH issues into planning

Performance Criteria

2.1 Contribute to the organisation's advocacy strategy and implementation
2.2 Lobbying key stakeholders at the sub-national level
2.3 Link issues between sub-national and national level

Element 3.
Facilitate capacity-building measures on WASH in emergencies

Performance Criteria

3.1 Provide technical and policy advice for PHE and PHP officers as well as other sectors within the assigned activity area
3.2 Perform measures to ensure reaching target population with culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions
3.3 Identify gaps in training and knowledge and set goals and objectives for capacity development within the team
## 3.4 Glossary of Terms and List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms and abbreviations</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AADMER</td>
<td>ASEAN Agreement on Disaster Management and Emergency Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACDM</td>
<td>ASEAN Committee on Disaster Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGP</td>
<td>ASEAN Guiding Principles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA Centre</td>
<td>ASEAN Coordinating Centre for Humanitarian Assistance on disaster management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMS</td>
<td>ASEAN Member States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQRF</td>
<td>ASEAN Qualifications Reference Framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASCEND</td>
<td>ASEAN Standards and Certification for Experts in disaster management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASEAN</td>
<td>Association of Southeast Asian Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBA</td>
<td>Competency-Based Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLAAS</td>
<td>Global Annual Assessment of Sanitation and Drinking-water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWC</td>
<td>Global WASH Cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Humanitarian Coordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>Headquarters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM</td>
<td>International Organisation for Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNFA</td>
<td>Korean National Fire Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGBTQ</td>
<td>Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer/Questioning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA</td>
<td>Mutual Recognition Arrangement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M</td>
<td>Operation and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAOR</td>
<td>One ASEAN One Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OWANS</td>
<td>Organisation's Wants and Needs from Stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHE</td>
<td>Public Health Engineer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>Public Health Promoter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWC</td>
<td>Price-Waterhouse Coopers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWD</td>
<td>Persons with Disabilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMART</td>
<td>Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, Time-Bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SME</td>
<td>Small and Mid-size Enterprise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOP</td>
<td>Standards Operating Procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWANS</td>
<td>Stakeholders Wants and Needs from Stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USAID</td>
<td>United States Agency for International Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH</td>
<td>Water, Sanitation and Hygiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSP</td>
<td>Water and Sanitation Program</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Unit Readings and Activities
4.1 Element 1. Establish coordination internally and externally within the assigned activity area

1.1 Establish coordination internally and externally within the assigned activity area

A. Introduction

One of the key features of the Global WASH Cluster (GWC) Strategic Plan is to strengthen engagement between national and sub-national coordination platforms with GWC. The objective is to build the capacity of national and sub-national coordination platforms to capture information, organise collective efforts, and share lessons during emergency response.

B. WASH sub-national coordination platform

Sub-national level of WASH coordination platforms supports WASH programme planning and implementation by facilitating the assignment of roles and responsibilities, communications and information sharing, progress monitoring, and more. A key global or regional actor in the WASH coordination platform usually assists the host government in coordination. However, a dedicated local field coordinator may also be assigned depending on the scale of disaster impact and the complexity of the response.

The list below shows the typical functions and supporting structures of a WASH sub-national coordination platform (WASH Cluster, 2015)

- Function
  - Develop plans and strategies – Ensure that sectoral plans are made available and support the realisation of strategies and priorities and WASH programme standards.
  - Strategic decision-making – Promote evidence-based and solution-oriented decision-making on recommendations of needs assessment and gap analysis. Lead discussion on funding requirements, prioritisation of resources, contributions of each sub-cluster, and more.
  - Advocacy – Identify advocacy concerns, including taking action on behalf of the affected population and WASH stakeholders.
- Support service delivery – Provide a platform to assure the service delivery is supported by strategic priorities and build mechanisms to reduce duplication of service delivery.
- Monitoring and Reporting – Monitor and report on cluster activities and recommend corrective actions when necessary if activities are not adhering to accepted principles, strategic priorities, cluster policies, and humanitarian standards.
- Contingency planning and preparedness – Address potential impacts of secondary hazards and make arrangements to address them if they occur.

### Other Supporting Structures
Sub-national WASH coordination platforms also offer support structures like:
- Steering or advisory groups – Adjusting and developing strategic framework, priorities, and cluster activities
- Technical support teams – Help with specific tasks such as data management, logistic, administration, and resource mobilisation.
- Technical working groups – Working groups are task-oriented and time-bound. They are usually created on a need basis only, such as to develop appropriate plans and practices or to solve technical issues.

### C. Summary
- Sub-national level of WASH coordination platforms supports WASH programme planning and implementation by facilitating the assignment of roles and responsibilities, communications and information sharing, progress monitoring, and more.
- Functions of sub-national coordination platform are to develop plans and strategies, strategic decision-making, advocacy, support service delivery, monitoring and reporting, contingency planning and preparedness, and integrate early recovery.
1.2 Support the organisation’s external communications and seek opportunities to advocate for WASH-specific needs, including interaction with donors

A. Introduction

The success of WASH programmes largely depends on the support from various stakeholders outside of the implementing organisation. Effective external communications and sustained advocacy efforts are crucial to engaging and mobilising support from these stakeholders.

B. External communications

The main areas of WASH external communications are shown in Figure 1.

*Figure 2: Main areas of external communications*

![Diagram showing main areas of external communications: Project reporting and promotion, Market development, Engagement and learning. Source: USAID WASH, 2017]

External communications bring benefits such as:
- Increasing external knowledge and understanding of WASH issues and project objectives
- Enhancing the impact of project results by convincing key stakeholders to get involved in the project
- Promoting accountability, transparency and facilitating a continuous learning process
Key external audiences

WASH Programmes are significant undertakings that affect cross-cutting issues. Each programme component has a specific external audience to target. For instance, the key stakeholders for sanitation marketing development are households and small businesses. For engagement and learning, the main audience includes government agencies, implementing partner organisations, and donors. Stakeholder mapping is a crucial tool that coordinators may use to understand who to engage for what and how to communicate with whom.

C. Advocacy in WASH

Advocacy is a process of actively framing issues and shaping decisions within social, political, and economic systems. Any advocacy effort aims to promote a particular way of thinking (e.g., as reflected in policies and plans) or action (e.g., behaviour and habits). In a humanitarian setting, advocacy efforts seek to raise awareness of the situation of affected communities, promote respect for humanitarian principles and practices, and help people obtain access to humanitarian assistance. The expected output of advocacy efforts includes building partnerships, securing funding, and facilitating sustainable programme outcomes.

The Water and Sanitation Program (WSP) provides an advocacy toolkit applicable for efforts targeting internal and external audiences. The Norwegian Church Aid also offers valuable guidelines for implementing advocacy campaigns in emergency contexts. WASH advocacy activities include spreading messages through media campaigns and support from prominent speakers, influencing policy-makers to include community interests, and mobilising affected populations to voice their concerns.

What are the components of advocacy message (UNICEF, 2016):

- Broader statement of what are you trying to do and how it aligns with your organisation’s vision and mission
- The immediate benefits to gain
- Long-term directions and impact

Examples of WASH advocacy goals:

- Significant improvement in community access to latrines
- Increased access to and coverage of clean water supply

Table 3: Smart Objectives
SMART OBJECTIVES

Objectives should be:
- **S**: Specific – clearly defined
- **M**: Measurable - measurable
- **A**: Achievable - Attainable
- **R**: Realistic - credible
- **T**: Time-bound – limited by time

D. Summary

- The success of WASH programmes largely depends on the support it gets from various stakeholders outside of the implementing organisation. Effective external communications and sustained advocacy efforts are key to engaging and mobilising support from these stakeholders.

- Advocacy is a process of actively framing issues and shaping decisions within social, political, and economic systems. Any advocacy effort aims to promote a particular way of thinking (e.g., as reflected in policies and plans) or action (e.g., behaviour and habits).

- In a humanitarian setting, advocacy efforts seek to raise awareness of the situation of affected communities, promote respect for humanitarian principles and practices, and help people obtain access to humanitarian assistance. The expected output of advocacy efforts includes building partnerships, securing funding, and facilitating sustainable programme outcomes.

- When formulating the objective for advocacy efforts, remember SMART: Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, Time-Bound
1.3 Promote community engagement in WASH programming, and as appropriate, for participation

A. Introduction

In the disaster context, community engagement brings about the active participation of affected communities in the overall response and recovery efforts. It facilitates ownership and accountability in what happens in the community concerning disaster management interventions. The key to community engagement is open communication and inclusive participation. It is essential to understand the perspectives on issues affecting the community members and build on their existing capacities and resources to overcome their challenges.

B. Promoting community engagement

Principles for community engagement

Some principles for community engagement are outlined below (OXFAM, 2019):

- **Be aware of our own attitudes and behaviour**: People are influenced by cultural and social factors, so it is vital to understand the differences in thinking and reactions. One of the solutions is to find a local partner, a mediator who understands the community's culture and language well.

- **Communities are diverse**: The dynamics and structures in every community are different. Understanding the dynamics and structures is essential to engage and engage them. Anthropologists and sociologists can help overcome this challenge.

- **Recognise and build on local knowledge and skills**: Make opportunities for local participation in all work areas. Enable the local participants to make decisions and act on those decisions.

- **Provide practical information in accessible language** – Share information with all relevant stakeholders to strengthen transparency and accountability.

- **Find good translators**: Overcome language barriers by seeking local translators who can communicate messages that affected communities understand.
• **Encourage feedback on the quality and effectiveness of the response** – Seek input from different community members, especially the marginalised and vulnerable groups

• **Open communication**: The communication should be a two-way process and use feedback to adapt your programme

• **Support collaboration and coordination**: The process should be integrated with a people-centred response. Share work and information to develop an appropriate working method with the community.

• **Advocate for community concerns and priorities**: Support community’s interests and the long-term sustainability of programmes in their area

**Communicating with disaster-affected groups**

Communication with disaster-affected groups must be based on a sound understanding of local cultures and beliefs and their impact on perceptions and behaviour. Communication should be culturally appropriate and socially acceptable; otherwise, the message will not strongly affect its intended audience. It is essential to provide space for communities to continually raise questions and clarify things not clear to them, such as the purpose of WASH programmes.

**Effective communication** ([WHO,2016]):

- Locally appropriate, engaging and consistent
- Spread evidence-based information (e.g., dismisses rumours, warns against risky behaviour, addresses gaps in knowledge)
- Encourage practical and feasible solutions
- Provide accurate details about the needs and priorities of communities

**Supporting community initiatives**

WASH coordinators need to build and strengthen existing capacities and resources if programmes are sustainable. Supporting community initiatives include working within the current social structures and mechanisms for decision-making, incorporating WASH activities in traditional community practices, and more.

WASH coordinators can support the community by ([WHO,2016]):

- Being present to help people and families who need support
- Supporting plans for community organisation
- Establishing links between the local community and trusted partners
- Working alongside the community in reducing future dependency on external sources
- Preventing new risks from emerging
C. **Activity**

Are there other methods applicable to WASH activities for supporting community initiatives in post-disaster contexts?

---

**Answer Box**

---

**D. Summary**

- In the disaster context, community engagement brings about the active participation of affected communities in the overall response and recovery efforts. It facilitates ownership and accountability in what happens in the community concerning disaster management interventions.
- Principles of community engagement are: Be aware of our attitudes and behaviour; communities are diverse; recognise and build on local knowledge and skills; provide practical information in accessible language; find good translators; encourage feedback on the quality and effectiveness of the response; open communication; support collaboration and coordination; and advocate for community concerns and priorities.
- Communication with disaster-affected groups must be based on a sound understanding of local cultures and beliefs and their impact on perceptions and behaviour. Communication should be culturally appropriate and socially acceptable; otherwise, the message will not strongly affect its intended audience.
- WASH coordinators need to build and strengthen existing capacities and resources if programmes are sustainable.
1.4 Oversees stakeholder engagement and identifies emerging partnerships within the assigned activity area

A. Introduction

An ideal stakeholder engagement process is an iterative process that involves planning, understanding stakeholder interests and needs, preparing, building trust, consulting, responding, monitoring, evaluation, and reporting.

An engagement process is built on shared vision and values. It involves:
- Diverse group of stakeholders
- Two-way communication
- Realistic goals
- Long term commitment

B. How to engage stakeholders

Figure 3 show the steps of a stakeholder engagement process (Jeffery, 2009)

Figure 3: steps of a stakeholder engagement process

Plan

Plan is when an organisation develops their understanding and clarifies why meaningful engagement is needed is essential. The organisation determines the objectives to achieve, the issues to solve, and the stakeholders to support it. It will depend on the organisation’s nature, function, priorities, structure, and partnerships.
Questions raised during this stage are
- What do we want to reach?
- What are the key issues?
- What resources do we need?
- What is our timeline?
- Who are the affected communities, groups, or individuals?
- What are the challenges and obstacles?

Understanding stakeholders’ wants and needs

Figure 3 presents the general stakeholders identified by Mitchell, Ages and Wood.

![Identifying stakeholders](image)


The power of stakeholders may be in what and how they control resources. The legitimacy of stakeholders refers to the way they embody or demonstrate society's prevailing beliefs and opinions in their words or actions. The urgency relates to the time-window stakeholders decide and act on something or demand and need something from others. Organisations seeking to engage stakeholders should understand their primary stakeholders' strategies to make connections and interactions with them.
After categorising primary stakeholders, organisations can start to assess the level of their support:

- **Influence**: the ability of stakeholders to raise public interest and mobilise them around an issue.
- **Interest**: the level of receptivity of stakeholders to a particular issue.
- **Salience**: the ability of the stakeholder to be prominent, noticeable, and relevant.

Prof Andy Neely from Cranfield School of Management designed a framework for determining how to balance stakeholders’ wants and needs (SWANS) with the organisation’s wants and needs from stakeholders (OWANS), as shown in Figure 4 and Table 2.

**Figure 5: SWANS and OWANS**

**Table 4: Performance prism**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SWANS</th>
<th>What are the desires and needs of the various stakeholders?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategies</strong></td>
<td>What are the strategies to fulfil those wants and needs?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process</strong></td>
<td>What processes are needed to achieve the strategies?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Capabilities</strong></td>
<td>What capabilities are needed to implement the processes?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OWANs</strong></td>
<td>What do we need from stakeholders to make all of this happen?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: [Prof. Andy Neely, Cranfield University, School of Management, U.K.](https://www.cranfield.ac.uk)
**Internal preparedness and alignment with stakeholders**

The highest level of success can be achieved when the interests and objectives of the stakeholder and the organisation can be aligned. Both parties may have different desired outcomes, but their activities should address common interests and objectives. The alignment of interests and objectives apply internally as well. The different departments, units, and teams of an organisation have to support each other, or it may derail the external stakeholder engagement processes. Misalignment between H.Q. and field offices may also produce the same effect ([Jeffery, 2009](#)).

Establishing a dedicated stakeholder management team can help coordinate with external and internal actors. Stakeholder engagement is a dynamic and complex process that require constant attention and quick responses.

**Building trust**

Trust between stakeholders facilitates two-way communications and information sharing. Trust makes stakeholders willing to understand each other and find ways to help one another. It also speeds up decision-making and the implementation of activities. When building trust, the common challenges revolve around inequitable relationships, power differentiation, and culture and language barriers. Organisations can build trust with stakeholders by ([Jeffery, 2009](#))

- Consulting stakeholders
- Keeping stakeholders updated on the organisation’s plans and activities
- Holding regular meetings and maintaining contact with stakeholders (even informally)
- Finding commonalities and using appropriate language and
- Do what you say you will do
- Establish mechanisms to strengthen accountability

**Consultation**

Any consultation should be:

- Representative: A population affected by a WASH programme need adequate representation in the consultation process. The success of a programme depends mainly on the extent it addresses the needs of affected communities. If community members are not well represented in consultation, then programme objectives may not be helpful. Ensuring the representation of marginalised and vulnerable groups gives them an avenue to voice out their concerns and build relationships.
- Responsive: Organisations that act on their word can build trust with the affected communities faster.
• Realistic: Consultation processes must consider and adapt to the historical, socio-cultural, political, and economic factors that shape the operating context. It should also be able to tackle and resolve trade-offs. Various stakeholders have different expectations driven by desires and interests. These expectations must be aligned, clearly communicated, agreed on, and managed carefully. Support for WASH programmes will continue as long as stakeholders can see or feel that they meet their expectations.

Respond and implement

After consultations, stakeholders will want to know which recommendations the programme will take on board and what issues it will address. Since no programme can address all needs simultaneously, WASH coordinators need to outline the priority of action, the scope of work, timeline, reporting, and other arrangements.

Figure 6: Possible route of scale implementation

Source: Jeffery, 2009

Monitoring, evaluating, and documenting

One essential thing is the management of information and knowledge in the engagement process. Ensuring the effectiveness of monitoring and evaluation can be done by employ their expertise and experience in knowledge management system and also outcomes and output got from other business operations in evaluation. Some international standards can be used as a reference to develop monitoring and evaluating capacity.
Vital elements related to the engagement process are documenting, reporting, and keeping precise records, especially when reporting them to third parties. Giving feedback to stakeholders is an essential part of the learning process, as it gives a mechanism to judge based on the stakeholder’s reaction toward organisation behaviour and action. The transparency of the organisational engagement process is influenced mainly by the quality, efficiency and perceived fairness of feedback system, documenting, and reporting. Giving the stakeholder idea of how the resources expended between resources also shows the organisation’s ability to critically assess the impact and level of their stakeholder engagement are essential for the organisation.

One of the essential components of any engagement process is regular communication. Monitoring, evaluating, and documenting activities are not just tools for continuous improvement; they also help keep WASH programmes relevant and sustainable. Monitoring processes provide mechanisms for promoting transparency and giving feedback to stakeholders. Evaluations support accountability and allow stakeholders to re-shape the programme activities if needed. Documentation aids in knowledge management and sharing of information to other stakeholders that might benefit from the experience. These three activities help strengthen relationships with stakeholders. Here are some recommendations for engaging with stakeholders when monitoring, evaluating and documenting (Jeffery, 2009):

- Consult the wants and needs of the stakeholder concerning reporting activities
- Determine the appropriate format, medium, method, and time to share the reports
- Request regular communication schedules with the stakeholder
- Ensure public reports access to different members of the community

C. Emerging partnerships

Emerging partnerships occur in all phases of a programme. Different stakeholders will want to get involved when it is in their interest. Therefore, WASH programmes should invest in finding ways to clearly and regularly communicate the advantages and benefits of programme outcomes to community members. Outcomes relevant to WASH stakeholders include (PWC,2014):

- Health – control NTDs, service utilisation, increased service coverage and quality, health outcomes
- WASH – increasing access, coverage, use, and functionality
- Education – Quality, equity, attendance, enrolment, literacy
- Corporate – Market share, earning, population purchasing power, corporate social responsibility
The Partnership Model is a tool that WASH coordinators can use to develop and maintain new types of partnerships (see Figure 6).

![Partnership model diagram](source: PWC.2014)

D. Summary

- An ideal stakeholder engagement process is an iterative process that involves planning, understanding stakeholder interests and needs, preparing, building trust, consulting, responding, monitoring, evaluation, and reporting.

- Steps to engage with stakeholders are planning, understanding stakeholders' wants and needs, internal preparedness and alignment with stakeholders, building trust, consultation, responding and implementing, and monitoring, evaluating, and documenting.

- Emerging partnerships occur in all phases of a programme. WASH programmes should invest in finding ways to clearly and regularly communicate the advantages and benefits of programme outcomes to community members.
4.2  Element 2. Incorporate policy or advocacy of WASH issues into planning

2.1  Contribute to the organisation’s advocacy strategy and implementation

A. Introduction

Every WASH programme should address ongoing issues that increase vulnerabilities and intensify the risks a community faces. Advocacy is one of the tools that an organisation can use to tackle such issues. This element will describe the strategy and implementation of WASH advocacy efforts.

B. Advocacy strategy

The advocacy strategy must be designed with local advocacy partners in line with the local context. It must also be communicated at various levels of advocacy work (e.g., local, national, regional, and global). The minimum requirements of a WASH advocacy activity are outlined by the Global WASH Cluster.

Table 5: WASH advocacy minimum requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Function 6: To support robust advocacy by:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Critical WASH issues are identified and brought to the attention of the relevant stakeholders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• When required, advocacy initiatives are undertaken to communicate WASH issues to key stakeholders (donors, government, Humanitarian Team, etc.).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: GWC, 2018)
The cycle diagram below helps set up an advocacy strategy (adapted from U.N. Water’s Brief Guide of Advocacy for Sanitation). The steps in the diagram need to be adjusted depending on the impact of the emergency on WASH. Any advocacy strategy must have the following features:

- Considers the best interest of the affected population
- Rights-based
- Context-specific
- Evidence-based
- Partner focused

**Figure 8:** Steps of setting up advocacy strategy

![Diagram showing steps of setting up advocacy strategy]

A matrix below shows how an organisation can concretise the agreed advocacy strategy, adapted from the Government of Zimbabwe’s National Sanitation and Hygiene Advocacy Strategy 2016-2020.

**Table 6:** Advocacy strategy mix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Advocacy issue</th>
<th>Intervention / action</th>
<th>Target audience</th>
<th>Policy demands</th>
<th>Advocacy channels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Increase household contribution to sanitation and hygiene | Low prioritisation of household expenditure on sanitation and hygiene | Households prioritise sanitation and hygiene | • Local leader  
• Households  
• Sanitation action groups  
• Health communities  
• Local authorities | Prioritisation of sanitation and hygiene by households | • Community mobilisation meetings  
• Meetings with local leader  
• Meetings with coordination platform  
• Health communities |

(Source: Government of Zimbabwe, 2016)
C. Advocacy strategy

Creating an advocacy work plan based on the advocacy strategy matrix is allows for the coherent and structured implementation of advocacy efforts. The advocacy work plan is the primary tool of a WASH coordinator for monitoring and evaluating the impact of advocacy and whether goals are met. The sample advocacy work plan with indicators is shown below:

Table 7: Advocacy work plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective #1: Advocacy capacity building</th>
<th>Activities</th>
<th>Responsible Staff</th>
<th>Partners</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Carrying out sector advocacy capacity needs assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Capacity building needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developing sector advocacy capacity building plans</td>
<td>John Doe</td>
<td>UNICE</td>
<td>$1000</td>
<td>1 Month</td>
<td>WASH sector capacity building plans by intervention area (human, material, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building advocacy capacity of stakeholders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• WASH training needs assessment conducted • WASH training plan developed • WASH training conducted • WASH capacity-building equipment and materials supplied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: adapted from ACF-IN, 2013 and Government of Zimbabwe, 2016)

D. Summary

- Every WASH programme should address ongoing issues that increase vulnerabilities and intensify the risks a community faces. Advocacy is one of the tools that an organisation can use to tackle such issues.
- Any advocacy strategy must have the following features: Considers the best interest of the affected population, rights-based, context-specific, evidence-based, and partner-focused.
- Creating an advocacy work plan based on the advocacy strategy matrix is allows for the coherent and structured implementation of advocacy efforts.
2.2 Lobbying key stakeholders at the sub-national level

A. Introduction

Lobbying is a form of advocacy that seeks to influence the decisions and activities of the decision-makers and policy-shapers. This element will describe lobbying activities and who to lobby in advocating WASH issues.

B. Lobbying activities

Lobbying is a mode of advocacy action that involves targeted meetings with decision-makers, policy-shapers, and those who influence them to convince them to support particular advocacy goals. Lobbying also could lead to negotiation, which involves promoting an alternative viewpoint and engaging the opposition in debate. This mode of advocacy can be informal or formal. Additionally, a deep understanding of power dynamics between stakeholders is required. Below are the four critical steps to take when engaging in lobbying:

- **Become familiar with “the corridors” of power**: Learn about the system, procedures, timelines, and key leaders and players.
- **Classify the players**: Find out where they stand on the issue and how much influence they have as key decision-makers or in persuading others.
- **Inform and build relationships**: Help the target understand the issues through various meetings and visitations. Gain their trust as both a reliable source of quality analysis and representative of the stakeholders.
- **Get attention and show your reach**: Time media releases and outreach mobilisation activities so that decision-makers know the support behind your proposal.

If lobbying advances to negotiation, the focus shifts from raising awareness to persuading decision-makers to support shared interests. Successful negotiation entails a thorough investigation of the position of decision-makers on the issue and what can be done to shift their stance. The basic steps for preparing for negotiations are:

- **Check carefully**: Do your homework. Look at the weaker parts of your proposal, then plan to overcome them.
- **Prepare your facts**: A lack of information creates anxiety. Collect the facts that support your case, and learn as much as you can about the other group, their circumstances, perspectives and interests. Information
and knowledge must be substantial enough to make reasonable demands. The decision-maker may have a valid point to address, and a coalition partner may not believe in everything you do on the specific matter. Find ways to understand and accommodate their concerns.

- **Develop alternatives**: Use the information acquired to formulate alternatives and assess what the other party will do. It will help you decide whether to compromise under their terms or walk away.

- **Get different perspectives**: Talking with others whose judgement you trust often helps you see the situation in a new light.

The most common area of lobbying in the WASH sector revolves around budget allocation for building WASH facilities because it takes political will to release large amounts of funds. Lobbying can convince key stakeholders that the design and delivery of WASH services are appropriate and necessary.

### C. Lobbying targets at sub-national level

Lobbying efforts are generally aimed at decision-makers and stakeholders who have the power to influence political will. The most important actors are usually local leaders, influential actors (e.g., tribal elders, religious heads), and civil society organisations at the sub-national level. Below is a list of whom to engage in lobbying efforts:

- Local (formal or informal) leaders
- Government agencies from WASH and other sectors.
- Civil society organisations and NGOs.
- Private sector.
- Research institutions.
- Media outlets.
- At-risk groups (e.g. women/mothers, children, elderly, PWDs, LGBTQ).
- Workers association groups (e.g. farmers, fishers, SME groups).

### D. Summary

- Lobbying is a mode of advocacy action that involves targeted meetings with decision-makers, policy-shapers, and those who influence them to convince them to support particular advocacy goals.
- If lobbying advances to negotiation, the focus shifts from raising awareness to persuading decision-makers to support shared interests. Successful negotiation entails a thorough investigation of the position of decision-makers on the issue and what can be done to shift their stance.
- The most common area of lobbying in the WASH sector revolves around budget allocation for building WASH facilities because it takes political will to release large amounts of funds.
4.3 Element 3. Facilitate capacity building measures on WASH in emergencies

3.1 Provide tech and policy advice for PHE and PHP officers as well as other sectors within the assigned activity area

A. Introduction

The Public Health Engineer (PHE) and Public Health Promoter (PHP) implement WASH activities according to the agreed strategies and plans. WASH coordinators provide technical and policy guidance to PHEs and PHPs to ensure that their work contributes to the overall programme.

B. Provide technical advice

There are four kinds of technical advice that WASH coordinators extend to PHEs and PHPs:

- **Capacity building**
  Regardless of the emergency, all WASH interventions should be based on the situation analysis and need assessments. It is the responsibility of WASH coordinators to ensure that PHEs and PHPs contribute to building and strengthening the capacity of the affected communities they operate with. WASH coordinators should ensure that the PHEs and PHPs are qualified, competent, and trained for the job and match them to the appropriate activities. WASH coordinators can assist PHEs in sourcing local building materials, equipment, and labour for WASH interventions and implementing transfers of technologies. WASH coordinators can also support PHPs in conducting capacity building efforts while promoting healthy practices. For example, affected communities can be educated on the benefits of recycling as part of solid waste management interventions or the risks of pooled water for mosquito breeding during vector control activities.

- **Placement and design of WASH facilities**
  WASH infrastructure may be damaged or destroyed due to a disaster. In some cases, WASH infrastructure may have been damaged or destroyed because of improper use or maintenance before a disaster.
One of the most practical approaches to ensuring facilities are available in the shortest timeframe is to work on existing structures and resources. WASH coordinators must provide technical advice to PHEs and PHPs on what to build, where to build, and how to build it based on following factors beyond the usual scope of engineering and public health: protection cross-cutting issues, socio-cultural context (e.g., understanding of the vulnerable and marginalised groups), political dynamics (e.g., working with local leaders), economic opportunities (e.g., revitalising local markets), and more.

- **Operation and maintenance**
  To ensure the long-term use of WASH facilities, WASH coordinators must develop operation and maintenance (O&M) systems and integrate the work of PHEs and PHPs into those systems. One critical component of O&M is standard operating procedures (SOPs). SOP reduces errors due to incorrect use or a lack of care. SOPs are made for but is not limited to using handwashing stations, refilling consumables such as soap, flushing boreholes, desludging, and general cleaning of other WASH facilities.

- **Exit strategy**
  The exit strategy is another area where WASH coordinators provide technical advice to PHEs and PHPs. WASH coordinators usually participate in strategic meetings with other stakeholders, giving them an insight into various plans. The long-term impact of the contributions of PHEs and PHPs largely depends on whether their work supports the exit strategy. WASH coordinators help link the work of PHEs and P.H.s to existing capacities, resources, O&M, and other mechanisms to promote the sustainability of WASH facilities and services.

**C. Provide policy advice**

WASH coordinators should also provide policy advice to PHEs and PHPs by:

- Articulating the key policies and strategies that the WASH programme contributes to.
- Informing them about the advocacy and lobbying efforts at the local and national levels.
- Reminding them about humanitarian principles, professional ethics, and minimum standards of work.
- Briefing them on the local situation and what to do to mitigate and respond to operational risks in the field.
- Recommending good approaches and practices to engage local communities and stakeholders.
D. Summary

- The Public Health Engineer (PHE) and Public Health Promoter (PHP) implement WASH activities according to the agreed strategies and plans. WASH coordinators provide technical and policy guidance to PHEs and PHPs to ensure that their work contributes to the overall programme.

3.2 Perform measures to ensure reaching target population with culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions

A. Introduction

Standards may make it easier for humanitarian workers to respond to a particular situation. However, it is best to consider standards as a baseline or minimum requirements instead of strict guidelines for planning and implementing a programme. A WASH coordinator should know the various ways to access and serve target populations with culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions.

B. Ensuring culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions

Culturally appropriate initiatives are those activities that accommodate and embrace local people’s beliefs, practices, traditions, and viewpoints. Gender-sensitive interventions consider and respect individuals regardless of sex and seek to reduce barriers created by sexism. There are several key indicators that WASH coordinators may use to promote culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions

- The specific water and sanitation needs of vulnerable groups are considered in WASH programs.
  - Women, the elderly, people with disabilities and other vulnerable groups such as ethnic minorities are represented on water and/or sanitation committees.
  - All people, including women, children, the elderly, and those with disabilities, can use water and sanitation facilities.
o There is sufficient space for women to clean, dry, and dispose of sanitary items privately and professionally.
o Individuals or groups can use a system to voice their complaints concerning water and sanitation facilities.

- Water and sanitation programs provide affected populations with equitable access to water and sanitation facilities.
  o The role of responsible government bodies in ensuring access to water and sanitation facilities is identified and supported where practicable.
o Discrimination by community groups in terms of access to water and sanitation services is observed and addressed.
o Representatives from various segments of the affected population, including women, monitor water and sanitation inputs.
o Water and sanitation facilities are in a secure location where all members of the community may access them and use them in a way that promotes both protection and privacy.

- Safety and dignity of the affected populations is a core consideration in water and sanitation programs.
  o Water stations are strategically placed to ensure the safety of vulnerable populations while also providing a clear vision of the surrounding region.
o For men and women, separate interior lockable bathrooms and washing facilities are provided. They should be placed in regions that are well-lit and visible.
o The critical factors in the design and placement of toilets and washing facilities are safety and convenience of access.
o The community, particularly women, children, and vulnerable groups, were consulted on the design and location of toilet and washing facilities and any safety or accessibility issues that may have been addressed.
o Pit latrines and shallow wells were built with kid safety in mind, both in design and access to the latrine and pit.
o When disinfection goods are provided, they are maintained in a secure location and prominently labelled as dangerous in the local language’s written and graphic form. If they are ingested, the community understands what to do.
• Data on WASH programs for affected populations are routinely collected, analysed and reported on to inform program direction.
  
  o The affected population, particularly women and vulnerable groups, is included in developing a monitoring plan.
  
  o To quantify impacts and changes, disaggregated baseline data on sex, age, and disability is collected.
  
  o Monitoring systems keep track of the intended and unforeseen consequences of relief activities for women, girls, boys, men, and other vulnerable populations, and program design is adjusted as needed.
  
  o Compliance with the CI Policy on the Prevention of Sexual Exploitation and Abuse is monitored, and tools for detecting and reporting abuse are available.

Other questions to tackle when designing gender-sensitive interventions:
• Is it possible to find and design water points, latrines, and bathing facilities in a way that ensures privacy and security?
• Is it safe to use water points? Is it possible for users (mainly women and children) to access them safely at all hours of the day and night? Some cultures forbid women from leaving the house during daylight hours. Thus, alternative requirements that go beyond those provided in SPHERE to meet social and cultural standards should be explored.
• What kinds of sanitary products should be distributed to women and girls?
• What are the cultural beliefs about water and sanitation practices, such as during menstruation?
• What are the population's hygiene habits and overall health? What amount of knowledge and skills do women have if they are responsible for their own and their family's hygiene?
• How do women see themselves and their role in the community? How much of it can be changed, and how much can it not?

Other questions to tackle when designing gender-sensitive interventions:

• Hand gestures
  
  o The most widely known belief about hands is considering the left hand as “unclean” and reserved solely for “hygienic” reasons, such as in Hindu and Islam. It is considered proper to use the right hand for offering, receiving, eating, pointing at something, or gesturing. Meanwhile, washing hands in a clockwise motion are recommended by Buddha and correspond to the positive attitude of happy and auspicious occasions.
“Visibly Dirty” Hand concept

- It may become increasingly challenging to discover a consistent meaning of this term from a transcultural standpoint. In reality, the skin colour can make it more challenging to perceive dirt on hands. For example, a spot of blood or other proteinaceous material is more difficult to discern on very dark skin. Furthermore, in some extreme heat and humid conditions, the desire to wash hands with fresh water may be prompted by a sensation of sticky or humid skin.

- Some cultures may find it challenging to train PHPs to limit handwashing with soap and water to only a few situations. According to some religions, the concept of dirt is not strictly visual but rather reflects a broader meaning that refers to both interior and exterior purity. External and internal cleanliness, for example, is a scripturally enjoined value in Hinduism and is consistently listed among the cardinal virtues in authoritative Hindu texts. In the Jewish religion, the custom of washing hands immediately after waking up in the morning refers to the fact that hands may have touched an impure site during the night, considered one-sixtieth of death. It thus implies that dirt can be invisible to the naked eye.

Alcohol-based hand-rubs (and alcohol prohibitions in religions)

- Alcohol use is prohibited or considered an offence requiring penance in some religions because it is thought to cause mental impairment (Sikhism, Hinduism, Islam). As a result, the use of alcohol-based formulations as the gold standard for hand hygiene may be inappropriate for some PHPs, either due to aversion to alcohol or concern about alcohol ingestion or absorption through the skin. Even the simple designation of the product as an “alcohol-based formulation” could pose a significant barrier to implementation.

- Alcohol prohibition is interpreted differently in different religions and even within the same religious affiliation. On the other hand, some other faiths believe that the problem does not exist.

A WASH coordinator should ensure that all WASH programme staff understand the importance of culturally acceptable and gender-sensitive interventions. Moreover, they need to ensure that these interventions are appropriately implemented. Any errors or shortcomings must be addressed immediately.
C. Summary

- A WASH coordinator should know the various ways to access and serve target populations with culturally appropriate and gender-sensitive interventions.
- Culturally appropriate initiatives are those activities that accommodate and embrace local people’s beliefs, practices, traditions, and viewpoints. Gender-sensitive interventions consider and respect individuals regardless of sex and seek to reduce barriers created by sexism.

3.3 Identify gaps in training and knowledge and set goals and objectives for capacity development within the team

A. Introduction

Identifying gaps in training and knowledge and setting goals and objectives for capacity development within the team is crucial to improving the team’s performance during humanitarian response.

B. Training and knowledge gaps

The list below is a guide for identifying training and knowledge gaps:

1. Define the organisational goals and objectives
   - Begin by writing down your organisational goals and objectives.
     - What is there to achieve?
     - What are the significant changes to consider?
     - How can the organisation successfully adapt to those changes?

2. Determine the necessary knowledge and skills
   - Note that when thinking about the future, it is crucial to think about the present and how to get from one to the other.
     - What critical knowledge and skills are needed to achieve goals and objectives?
     - Will this also require adopting new approaches or using cutting-edge technologies?
3. Assess the current situation
   - Now is the time to assess the current situation. KPIs, according to experts, are one of the best sources for evaluating the knowledge skills gap analysis.
     - To obtain an overall performance trend, one should conduct an in-depth analysis of the KPIs. Employee competency-based assessments, group discussions, 360-degree reviews, and on-the-job shadowing and observation techniques are also options.
     - The goal is to map your employees' current knowledge and skills accurately. Make sure to keep the results separate from the long-term goals.

4. Identify the gaps
   - Once the data are gathered and analysed:
     - What knowledge and skills are missing, and what kind of training is needed?

5. Bridge the gaps
   - After having done all of the above, start acting on it. It is also essential to keep in mind that training is not the only option. A well-rounded strategy may include hiring and maximising your internal resources through mentoring, coaching, or shadowing programs, if possible.

Human resource challenges in the areas of water and sanitation usually come from the following:
   - Inability to attract and retain staff as a result of:
     - Inadequate budgets and salaries.
     - Limited opportunities for trained professionals.
     - Poor incentives for staff retention.
     - Insecurity in some areas.
     - Perception that WASH is not an attractive area of work.
   - Lack of adequate training.
   - Failure to implement recommendations of institutional studies

C. Set goals and objectives for capacity development

   - Identifying short-term objectives:
     - Scheduling and planning short-term objectives in the capacity development plan are critical to building confidence, gaining experience, and demonstrating results and value. These goals can be used to guide subsequent interventions.
• **Aim to set long-term objectives:**
  o Capacity development is a change process that frequently involves multiple activities. Long-term objectives and milestones should be incorporated into WASH programmes.

• **Linking with existing strategies and plans:**
  o Capacity development efforts should not be implemented in isolation but rather as part of existing national strategies and plans.

Capacity development activities include:
  • Classroom-based training
  • On-the-job training
  • Online learning/computer-based training
  • Tours and observational trips
  • Conferences, workshops, and seminars
  • Coaching and mentoring

**D. Summary**

• Identifying gaps in training and knowledge and setting goals and objectives for capacity development within the team is crucial to improving the team's performance during humanitarian response.

• Simply put, the knowledge and skills gap is the difference between the knowledge and skills needed and the knowledge and skills available now.
Self-assessment Checklist
# Self-assessment Checklist

Please use the checklist below to help you determine whether you are prepared to be assessed in this unit of competency. The boxes without tick mark indicate that there may be some areas you need to work on to become ready for assessment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructions</th>
<th>Questions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Please tick (✔) the box if your answer is yes</td>
<td>Have I read the Learner Guide and understood its contents?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have I attended, participated in, and completed all training sessions and activities?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Have I reviewed the learning resources to reinforce what I’ve learned in training?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Am I able to demonstrate my understanding of each element and performance criteria of this unit of competency by writing a summary in my own words?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Am I able to communicate how my experience, knowledge, skills-sets, and attitudes make me qualified and competent enough to perform the job related to this unit of competency?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Oral Interview and Written Test Guide
Oral interview and written test guide

This section guides candidates on how to communicate, demonstrate, or present evidence, responses, and their work in a professional manner. There are three primary ways the candidates will be assessed: through observation, oral interview, and written test. The assessor will determine the final assessment methods and tools depending on several factors like the local context, professional needs, and the like.

**On observations**

Assessors will observe the candidate over a period of time to collect evidence of their capability to meet the required standards and performance criteria. Assessors may attend selected learning sessions, if any, to witness how candidates complete their activities and participate in exercises. In doing so, assessors can get a sense of the candidate’s key strengths and areas for improvement concerning the unit of competency. It will benefit candidates to ensure their work is always complete and presentable.

**On oral interview**

Assessors will conduct oral interviews to confirm and evaluate the candidate’s experience, knowledge, skills, and attitudes regarding the unit of competency under assessment.

Please review the Unit Readings and complete the Self-assessment Checklist in this document. It may include verification questions about what you learned from the training content and material. It may also include competency questions about your knowledge and skills. Assessors may ask you what knowledge or skill you will use or apply to address a specific occupational issue or problem. Candidates need to think about how they will carry out their critical job functions in a defined work setting.

Finally, the interview may also include behavioural questions that focus on attitudes. Assessors may ask for examples of what you will do when a particular situation happens or when circumstances change. Candidates will need to support their answers with reflections on their own or other’s experiences and the lessons learned from those.
On written tests

Assessors will also present a written test to candidates to confirm whether candidates learned and understood the training content and material concerning the unit of competency under assessment.

Accuracy, brevity, and clarity are the ABCs of good writing. The first thing candidates are suggested to do is answer the questions as accurately as possible. It helps structure your response and sharpen your main points in an outline before writing them down. Candidates are advised to use short and simple sentences and paragraphs. The key messages and transitions between your sentences and paragraphs must be clear. Your answers need to be easy to read and understand. It includes removing and leaving out irrelevant material. Candidates are also expected to write coherently and logically so that readers can follow their thought.

Proofread and correct errors in your work before submitting it. How you format your work also matters. If you are using a computer, please check whether your indentions, margins, spacing, listings (bullets, numerical sequencing), and page numbers are in order.
Recommended Readings
Recommended Readings

ACF. (2013). *ACF International Advocacy Toolkit*. Accessible [here](#).


PATH. (2014). *Stronger Health Advocates Greater Health Impacts: A workbook for policy advocacy strategy development*. Accessible [here](#).


Learning Resources

Global WASH Cluster. *GWC Coordination Tool Kit (CTK) - Confluence*. Accessible [here](#).
Training Evaluation Sheet
# Training evaluation sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Training</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competency unit title and number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADM.TEC.026.1 Provide Technical Guidance to Partners and Stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of training</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Instructions

Please tick (✔) your level of agreement with the statements below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neither Agree or Disagree</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Training content and facility

The training objectives were clearly defined and met.

- [ ] Strongly Agree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] Neither Agree or Disagree
- [ ] Disagree
- [ ] Strongly Disagree

The training content was organised and easy to follow.

- [ ] Strongly Agree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] Neither Agree or Disagree
- [ ] Disagree
- [ ] Strongly Disagree

The training material was relevant and useful to me.

- [ ] Strongly Agree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] Neither Agree or Disagree
- [ ] Disagree
- [ ] Strongly Disagree

The training facility is adequate and comfortable.

- [ ] Strongly Agree
- [ ] Agree
- [ ] Neither Agree or Disagree
- [ ] Disagree
- [ ] Strongly Disagree
## Training delivery and activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The trainers/presenters were knowledgeable and well prepared.</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The trainers/presenters were engaging and helpful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The length of the training was sufficient for learning.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The pace of the training was appropriate to the content and attendees.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The activities and exercises encouraged participation and interaction.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### What did you like most about this training?
What parts of the training could be improved?

Other comments and feedback:

Thank you for completing this training evaluation form. Your response is appreciated.
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